## Preliminary communication

# Butadienic derivatives of metal carbonyls I. Reactions of dodecacarbonyltriruthenium with arylbutadienes

M. VALLE, O. GAMBINO, L. MILONE, G.A. VAGLIO and G. CETINI Istituto di Chimica Generale e Inorganica, Corso Massimo d'Azeglio 48, CAP. 10125, Torino (Italy) (Received March 13th, 1972)

Reactions between  $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$  and  $\operatorname{Os}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$  with diphenylacetylene have been previously reported<sup>1</sup>. It is not known that these reactions often give complexes in which the organic portion is a heterocyclic five-membered ring system with metal—carbon  $\sigma$ bonds. Furthermore it has been shown that two alkyne ligands join to form metal—cyclopentadiene rings which then constitute the organic moieties. This suggested that the same complexes could be prepared from metal carbonyls and organic ligands, such as butadienes. Here we report the first results of reactions between  $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$  and 1,2,3,4-tetraphenyl-1,3butadiene, and 1,4-trans, trans-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene. Comparison of the products showed that only the orange isomer<sup>1 f</sup>  $L_2 \operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_8$  (where L is  $C_2 \operatorname{Ph}_2$  or  $C_2 \operatorname{HPh}$ ) can be obtained from both diphenylacetylene and arylbutadiene ligands. A number of other compounds were also isolated, including  $C_7 \operatorname{H}_{12} \operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_9$  (I),  $C_6 \operatorname{H}_{10} \operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_9$  (II),  $\operatorname{H}_4 \operatorname{Ru}_4(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$  (III),  $\operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{Ru}_4(\operatorname{CO})_{13}$  (IV),  $C_4 \operatorname{H}_4 \operatorname{Ph}_2 \operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_9$  (V).

Bi- and mono-nuclear complexes were also formed as byproducts. All the compounds were separated by TLC plates. The only reaction between  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  and  $C_4H_4Ph_2$  yielded (V). High field signals ( $\tau$  25–30) characteristic of transition metal hydrides were observed in the NMR spectra for (I) to (V). The IR, NMR and mass spectrometry data for (III) and (IV) agreed with those in the literature<sup>2</sup>. Parent molecular ions  $[C_7 H_{12} Ru_3(CO)_9]^+$ ,  $[C_6 H_{10} Ru_3(CO)_9]^+$ ,  $[C_4 H_4 Ph_2 Ru_3(CO)_9]^+$ , together with peaks corresponding to the stepwise loss of nine carbonyl groups giving  $[C_7 H_{12} Ru_3]^+$ ,  $[C_6H_{10}Ru_3]^+$ ,  $[C_4H_4Ph_2Ru_3]^+$  are readily identifiable. A product (VI) of the same empirical formula as (II) was isolated as the major product of the reaction of trans, transand cis, trans-1, 3-hexadiene and Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>. The mass, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectra of (II) and (VI) were identical, and we conclude that (II) and (VI) are the same compound. The IR spectrum in the carbonyl stretching region of  $C_6H_{10}Ru_3(CO)_9$ , in carbon tetrachloride, exhibited several bands at 2097 vs, 2070 vs, 2043 vs, 2028 vs, 2016 vs, 1998 (sh) and 1975 w cm<sup>-1</sup>. All of them are assignable to terminal carbonyl groups. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of (II) showed a doublet at  $\tau$  3.36, a rather complicated multiplet at  $\tau$  7.21, a singlet at  $\tau$  7.16, a triplet at  $\tau$  8.58 and a doublet at  $\tau$  30.1. Integration showed that the areas associated with the particular resonance signals were in the ratio of 1/5/3/1.

J. Organometal, Chem., 38 (1972)

#### PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION

The absence of phenyl groups is thus certain and the data suggest that  $C_6 H_{10} Ru_3(CO)_9$  should be considered as a  $\pi$ -1,3-methylethylallyl compound. The formation of (II) points out that the presence of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  causes a noteworthly change in the molecule of the organic ligand. On the other hand a number of  $\pi$ -envl complexes of transition metals were prepared from diene olefins<sup>3</sup>. The hydrido ligand<sup>4</sup> is also believed to interact with the environment of the proton doublet at  $\tau$  30.1 supports this view. A detailed analysis of the mass spectra of (II) and (VI) showed that elimination of  $H_2$ molecules from the ligand accompanies the loss of carbonyl groups. Furthermore the isotopic peaks of  $[C_6H_{10}Ru_3]^+$  are superimposed to those of  $[C_6H_8Ru_3]^+$ ,  $[C_6H_6Ru_3]^+$ and  $[C_6H_4Ru_3]^+$ . The fragmentation of the organic ligand proceeds with the loss of an ethyl and a methyl radical to give abundant  $[C_3HRu_3]^+$ ,  $[C_3Ru_3]^+$  and  $[C_2Ru_3]^+$ . Trinuclear doubly-charged ions are also present in good intensity. The only binuclear  $[C_2 Ru_2]^+$  ion is observed and accounts about for 0.5% of metal-containing fragments, while no mononuclear species are present. These results, when compared with the intensities of the ions in the spectrum of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ <sup>2</sup><sup>c</sup>, suggest that the  $Ru_3(CO)_9$  moiety contains the  $Ru_3$  cluster.  $C_6H_{10}Ru_3(CO)_9$  is a diamagnetic compound, so on the basis of the effective atomic number we suggest that the allyl ligand is coordinated to the  $Ru_3(CO)_9$ molety by one  $\pi$  and two  $\sigma$  bonds. At the moment we have no data to distinguish the three possible types of metal-hydrogen linkages, *i.e.* with H as a terminal, edge bridging or face bridging group. However, the hydrido ligand must give one electron to the cluster considered as a whole.

A detailed analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of this compound and an X-ray crystallographic study of VI is presently under way<sup>5</sup>.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We acknowledge a stimulating discussion on NMR data with Dr. E.W. Randall and E. Rosenberg.

### REFERENCES

- (a) G. Cetini, O. Gambino, E. Sappa and M. Valle, Atti Acc. Sci. Torino, 101 (1967) 437; (b) Curtis T. Sears Jr. and F.G.A. Stone, J. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1968) 644; (c) O. Gambino, G.A. Vaglio, R.P. Ferrari and G. Cetini, J. Organometal. Chem., 30 (1971) 381; (d) R.P. Ferrari, G.A. Vaglio, O. Gambino, M. Valle and G. Cetini, to be published; (e) J.P. Candlin, K.K. Joshi and D.T. Thompson, Chem. Ind. (London), (1966) 1960; (f) G. Cetini, O. Gambino, E. Sappa and M. Valle, J. Organometal. Chem., 17 (1969) 437.
- 2 (a) J.W.S. Jamieson, J.V. Kingston and G. Wilkinson, Chem. Commun., (1966) 569;
  (b) B.F.G. Johnson, R.D. Johnston, J. Lewis and B.H. Robinson, Chem. Commun., (1966) 851;
  (c) J. Lewis and B.F.G. Johnson, Accounts Chem. Res., (1968) 245; (d) B.F.G. Johnson,
  R.D. Johnston, J. Lewis, B.H. Robinson and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 2856.
- 3 G.E. Coates, M.L.H. Green and K. Wade, in M.L.H. Greén (Ed.), Organometallic Compounds, Vol. II, Methuen, London, 3rd ed., 1968, p. 39 et seq:
- 4 M.I. Bruce, M.A. Cairns, A. Cox, M. Green, M.D.H. Smith and P. Woodward, Chem. Commun., (1970) 735.
- 5 M.B. Hursthouse, M. Evans, E.W. Randall, E. Rosenberg, L. Milone and M. Valle, to be published.

J. Organometal Chem., 38 (1972)